

# WORKSHOP

Planetary Protection Knowledge Gaps for Human Extraterrestrial Missions





You are here.



#### And why you are here...



- To identify our <u>knowledge gaps</u> with respect to human missions to Mars and planetary protection by:
  - Gathering and discussing information needed to help move closer to definitive (implementation) requirements for future human missions
  - 2. Assessing the types and levels of research underway and/or needed to fill knowledge gaps in areas consistent with fulfilling COSPAR Principles and Guidelines for Human Missions to Mars
  - Building a network of expertise to help address planetary protection challenges for human exploration
- So what is a knowledge gap?
  - A question that cannot be definitively answered, or
  - A concern that cannot be addressed...until further research, study, and/or testing is completed.

Ultimately, you are here to help us get to here





# **Guiding Assumptions**



- We will use the same assumptions as defined in current COSPAR principles for human missions to Mars:
  - Safeguarding the Earth from potential back contamination is the highest planetary protection priority in Mars exploration.
  - The greater capability of human explorers can contribute to the astrobiological exploration of Mars only if humanassociated contamination is controlled and understood.
  - For a landed mission conducting surface operations, it will not be possible for all human associated processes and mission operations to be conducted within entirely closed systems.
  - Crewmembers exploring Mars, or their support systems, will inevitably be exposed to Martian materials.



### Workshop Scope



Although these subjects may be relevant to planetary protection and human missions, to be successful in our task we should avoid/minimize:

- Prioritizing needed studies, or attempting to forge a consensus on research or testing to close knowledge gaps
  - We want to collect good ideas, not rank them
- Any focus on the perceived challenges in the regulation of private-sector versus public-sector exploration missions
  - We are building knowledge to support NASA requirements, not assessing implementation options or the future of space law
- Choosing specific robotic precursor missions
  - Focus on the needed data, rather than a mission to retrieve it
- Including concerns related to long-term Mars settlement and/or terraforming, except as they apply to resource preservation
  - Let's get to Mars first...



## **Guiding Workshop Questions**



- What planetary protection research activities or technical developments do you feel are critical for inclusion in your study area?
- What work/research is already underway?
- Is special information or technology needed to plan for nominal vs. non-nominal situations?
- Are existing options for mitigating contamination adaptable for planetary protection needs on the Martian surface?
- Are there any significant stumbling blocks ahead that are evident? (including coordination across planetary protection, science exploration, engineering, operation and medical communities)
- In your opinion, what still needs to be accomplished?